Abstract

Uncertainty in terms of the expected lapse rate of life insurance contracts affects the risk of imprecise determination of solvency capital requirement, minimum capital requirement, and performance of an insurance company. Therefore, a precise lapse risk projection of a life insurance contract bears great significance. The lapse rate is influenced by numerous factors.

Solvency capital requirement in terms of the life insurance lapse risk under Solvency II regime may be determined by using a prescribed standard formula or partial internal model. On the example of data obtained from the Serbian insurance market and by using an R software package, this paper will show in detail the selection of lapse rate risk factor modelling. It will also show the formation of the GLM model of lapse rates and verification of assumptions of the GLM.

The developed partial internal model may be applied for determining the lapse rate of an insurance company operating on the domestic market.
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I. Introduction

The lapse risk is the risk of loss or increase of insurer’s liabilities due to a change in the expected exercise rates of policyholder contractual options in terms of life insurance. A contract may be: partly or fully terminated; terminated with the
payment of cash value or without such payment; terminated in relation to the liability of the policyholder to pay the premium but with the obligation of the insurer to pay out the reduced value of the sum insured or without a paid-up sum; terminated with the possibility of contract renewal in a particular period with premium payments that would become due until renewal or without the option of renewal.

1. Solvency Capital Requirement

Solvency capital requirement under the Solvency II regime may be calculated as follows: using a prescribed standard formula, using full internal model, and using a partial internal model.

The standard formula is intended for an average European insurance company and contains a large number of approximations and additionally proposed simplifications for particular risks which for a particular insurance company do not represent key risks. In each risk module and sub-module, an insurance company may replace a standard formula with its own methodology, and create a model for determining the capital requirement. If all risk modules and sub-modules are replaced, then such internal models are called full internal models. If replacement is made only for a few risk modules or sub-modules, whereas remaining risks are calculated by standard formula, such internal models are called partial internal models.

Partial internal models are introduced to enable the assessment of the expected lapse rate that would be more precise than that shown in a standard formula and thus, provide a more adequate assessment of the lapse risk and appropriate capital requirement.

2. Measuring Lapse Risk under a Standard Solvency II Formula

Capital requirement for the lapse risk equals the highest amount of the following capital requirements for the three sub-risks: a permanent increase in lapse rates, a permanent decrease in lapse rates, and a mass lapse event.

\[
SCR_{\text{lapse}} = \max (Lapse_{\text{up}}; Lapse_{\text{down}}; Lapse_{\text{mass}})
\]

The capital requirement for each of the above three sub-risks is obtained as the loss of net asset value of an insurance company that would occur due to permanent increase or decrease in used lapse rate options or immediate mass lapse event:

\[
Lapse_i = \Delta NAV | lapseshock_i
\]

The mentioned three options among which the one with the highest influence is selected are explained in Table 1.
Table 1 Example of lapse rate trends under the standard formula requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expected lapse rate</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent increase in lapse 50%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent decrease in lapse 50%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass lapse 40% of all policies</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s calculation

3. Measuring Lapse Risk under the Solvency II Partial Internal Model

In line with the principles-based approach under the Solvency II regime, when replacing the rules-based approach that was previously effective, EIOPA did not prescribe a formal definition of the internal model, nor did it define what internal model should include. The most significant difference between the internal models and the standard formula is in a more extensive use of stochastic techniques over the own data in internal models.

The application of partial or full internal models for risk measuring is aimed at encouraging insurance companies to more accurately assess and control their risks. The purpose of introducing internal models should not be the reduction of solvency capital requirement but better management of own risks. The use of internal models helps insurers to more adequately model their risks, which leads to increased risk-specific sensitivity of obtained results for solvency capital requirement of a given insurance company. Continuous periodical validation of the internal model is crucial for the successful use of internal models in a company.

The disadvantage of internal models lies in their great complexity which requires a considerable deployment of company resources.

The subject of this paper is the analysis of the financial impact of life insurance lapse risk on the solvency of life insurance companies. The aim of the paper is to formulate a partial internal model for determining capital requirements to cover the life insurance lapse risks, observing the specific characteristics of the risk in both individual insurance companies and the life insurance market in Serbia.

The research presented in this paper will be the first empirical study of the lapse rate on the insurance market in Serbia. Since the domestic market accounted for some 900 thousand active life policies at the end of 2019, whereas there are no systematised data on policy lapses, the analysis of more than 200,000 policies will prove very useful. It is particularly interesting to note the behaviour of the policy-holders in terms of early termination of the contracts at the time of the unexpected
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event, which this research will be able to analyse since it also includes the year 2008 when the Global Financial Crisis began.

II. Overview of Literature on the Lapse Rate Factors

Numerous authors around the globe have studied the impact of different factors on the lapse rate. The first group of authors dealt with the impact of environmental characteristics such as macroeconomic indicators. Dar and Dodds,3 Outreville,4 group of authors5 headed by Kuo and group of authors led by Russel,6 focused on the reference interest rate, gross domestic product per capita, and unemployment rate, whereas Cox and Lin,7 Kim,8 and Kiesenbauer9 analysed the impact on the lapse rate created by gross domestic product, development of the capital market, and size of an insurance company. The other group of authors studied the impact of details contained in the life contract on the lapse rate, using a generalized linear model. Kagraoka,10 a group of authors11 led by Cerchiara, a group of authors12 headed by Milhaud, Eling, and Kiesenbauer13 studied the impact of the year of the contract, age of policyholders, premium payment method, sales channels, and the existence of additional covers. Eventually, the group of authors14 headed by Cheng analysed the growth of lapse rate depending on the behaviour of other market players who decide to terminate their contracts.

Except for Kočović and Jovović, domestic authors did not deal with the issues of life contract lapses.

**III. Projection of the Lapse Risk**

**1. Importance of Life Lapse Risk Projection**

The moment when the liability to the Insured will arise is uncertain for an insurance company. For example, under the endowment insurance contract that covers the death risk and survival, which is concluded for the term of 20 years, in the event of death of the insured person, an insurance company may pay out the full sum insured on the very next day or a surrender value at any time after three years from insurance inception date, or in the event that it does not pay anything of the aforementioned, it may pay out the sum insured upon the insured person’s survival of the contract expiry.

Uncertainty relating to the lapse rate does not only influence the risk of imprecise determination of solvency capital requirement and minimum capital requirement but also has several other important effects on the business performance of insurance companies.

The first effect relates to the payment of surrender value. When policy lapses, the insurance company pays out the surrender value to the policyholder and cancels the mathematical reserve for such policy. On the Serbian market, the surrender value is lower than or equal to the mathematical reserve so that at the moment of lapse, the insurance company has revenue. In the event when the lapse rate is lower, the insurance company will have a revenue lower than expected, which may present a profitability problem if the expected lapse rate was used for pricing the service.

Another effect relates to the coverage of acquisition costs. In life insurance, acquisition costs are rather high. In a financial statement, acquisition costs that occur at the moment of contract conclusion are usually recognised through mathematical reserve reduction, using the zillmerization. If the lapse rate is higher than expected and there is no clawback mechanism, the company may not be able to cover the acquisition costs and may face losses.

Even if the average lapse rate behaves expectedly, the third effect may emerge and affect the insurer’s profitability. If policies of healthy insured persons lapse more than expected and the policies of insured persons of poor health lapse less than expected, the mortality rate within a portfolio may considerably increase, regardless of the unchanged mortality of population.

---

The absence of expected future profit from lapsed policies may be an important fourth effect harming the profitability of insurance companies.

The fifth effect is threatened liquidity due to any unexpected mass pay-outs of surrender value for lapsed policies.

Formation of the mathematical reserve from which liabilities to the Insured are paid out, requires the use of different assumptions relating to mortality, technical interest rate, etc. The said assumptions are most often based on the experience of an insurance company and actuarial principles and regulations.\textsuperscript{16} The sufficiency of the mathematical reserve for the payment of assumed liabilities is directly related to the company's solvency. Therefore it is very important to properly estimate future cash flows. The sixth effect of the increase in lapse rate is the impact on cash flows and thus on company solvency.

Maturity mismatch between assets and liabilities may occur as the seventh effect of the increased lapse rate. Since liabilities from standard long-term savings life contracts should be covered by assets of appropriate duration, the lapses of such contracts require asset adjustment, which entails particular costs.

The eighth effect relates to the reputational risk of an insurance company. Prospective insureds know that a particular number of contracts may lapse before their expiry but are often uninformed about their rights regarding that matter. Particular agents provide confusing or even wrong oral information that there are no adverse effects due to contract termination and that the premium paid until then will be returned to the insured person. Such practice is contrary to Articles 82 and 83 of the Insurance Law\textsuperscript{17} and in addition to undermining the reputation of an insurance company, may lead to penalties imposed by the insurance supervisory authority.

Finally, the last negative effect of the increase in the lapse rate is the effect on the embedded value of an insurance company because of the decrease in cash flows from future premiums.\textsuperscript{18}

Because of all the mentioned effects, it is important to foresee the lapse rate as precisely as possible.

### 2. Factors Influencing Lapse Rate

The lapse rate depends on many rational and irrational reasons influencing the behaviour of policyholders.\textsuperscript{19} An example of rational behaviour is the response to

---


\textsuperscript{17} Insurance Law. Official Gazette of RS, no. 139/14.


financial market trends and changes in macroeconomic variables such as inflation, foreign exchange rate, stock market indices, purchasing power, unemployment rate, and the like. An example of irrational behaviour may be seen in the termination of the insurance contract to buy a car from the accumulated cash.

The type of insurance and characteristics of provided service considerably influence the lapse rate. Policyholders find it easier to terminate a multi-year term life contract unaware that this is how they lose money. For example, by terminating a life insurance contract with survival benefit, policyholders lose a part of their money because, as a rule, the surrender value is lower than the mathematical reserve. The following characteristics of insurance services impact the possible decision on contract termination: term of the contract, period remaining until the contract expiry, amount of premium and the sum insured, premium payment frequency, phase of the annuity contract (premium payment and annuity pay-outs), level of penalty reduction of the mathematical reserve to be paid in the event of surrender, allocation method of non-guaranteed profit, recent fund yields in unit-linked contract, the structure of agents’ commissions, etc.

Age and gender of a policyholder, his or her place of residence as an income level indicator, marital status, and particularly the change of such status may also be used to analyse the lapse rate.

Particular policyholders consider life insurance contracts as a type of savings in case of unforeseen circumstances so that, for example, if they lose their job, they can use the surrender value to make up for the lack of income in the transition period. Investors into life insurance aiming to increase their wealth easily terminate the contract and switch to more lucrative investments when the interest rates start going up.

Unforeseen events such as a change in tax policy and change of ownership or reputation of an insurance company may considerably change the lapse rate.

Policyholders often decide to terminate the contract for more than one reason. Particular, previously mentioned factors, have almost perfect correlation such as, for example, age of the insureds and term of the contract which simultaneously increase. When modelling the lapse, both such factors cannot be used. In addition, particular variables may depend on the value of the other variable.

All the mentioned factors may be used as explanatory variables to explain and foresee the change in life insurance lapse rates, as dependent variables. The set of explanatory variables will be selected depending on the data on policies written by a particular insurance company.

Firstly, one should study the data of insurance companies when modelling the dependency of lapse rate on more than one variable, by analysing the lapse rate dependency on each independent variable available in the data set and by studying the correlation between available independent variables.

---

IV. Data

In the below analysis, the data taken from the Serbian insurance market were used with policies issued or lapsed in the period from 1 January 2006 to 31 December 2017.

**Figure 1 Lapse Rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lapse rate</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lapse rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>12.46%</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>13.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15.25%</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>16.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>17.20%</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>15.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>22.53%</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>13.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>18.86%</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>12.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>15.74%</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>15.09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Author’s calculation*

After the data processing in Microsoft Access, the time series of lapse rates were obtained by years of the analysed period, as shown in Figure 1.

The stationarity of time series may be tested by combining Kwiatkowski, Phillips, Schmidt, and Shin (KPSS) test and Dickey-Fuller (DF) test. The rule of statistical testing says that the test conclusion may be the rejection of null hypothesis and acceptance of alternative hypothesis or, yet, that no conclusion can be drawn.

The time series are firstly tested by the KPSS test. According to a null hypothesis of the KPSS test, there is no unit root. According to the alternative hypothesis of the KPSS test, there is a unit root, namely, the time series are not stationary. Null hypothesis on stationary time series is rejected for the selected level of significance if the realised value of test statistics is higher than the corresponding critical value.\(^{21}\) If the null hypothesis of the KPSS test is rejected, the time series contain a unit root. If the null hypothesis of the KPSS test cannot be rejected, then it cannot be concluded that the time series are stationary, and DF testing continues.

The null hypothesis of the DF test holds that there is a unit root i.e. that time series are not stationary. According to the alternative hypothesis of the DF test, time series are stationary. The null hypothesis that there is a unit root is rejected for a sufficiently low value of statistics i.e. when the calculated value is lower than the critical value.\(^{22}\) If the DF test rejects the null hypothesis, it can be concluded that there is no unit root in the time series, which means that the time series are stationary. If the DF test fails to reject the null hypothesis, there is still no conclusion.

---


The lapse rate is shown in Figure 1. Since the lapse rate value fluctuates over the period of 11 years i.e. does not have a pronounced trend, when selecting the type of regression it was assumed that the time series do not contain the time trend component.

### Table 2 Official statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>GDP growth</th>
<th>Price growth</th>
<th>Average net wage growth in EUR</th>
<th>Unemployment rate</th>
<th>Belex-Line Index growth</th>
<th>Ref. Interest rate of NBS</th>
<th>Non-life premium growth</th>
<th>Life premium growth</th>
<th>Total premium growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4,9%</td>
<td>6,6%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>20,9%</td>
<td>36,0%</td>
<td>14,00%</td>
<td>7,5%</td>
<td>19,6%</td>
<td>8,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>6,4%</td>
<td>11,0%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>18,1%</td>
<td>44,1%</td>
<td>10,00%</td>
<td>22,4%</td>
<td>28,9%</td>
<td>23,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>5,7%</td>
<td>8,6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13,6%</td>
<td>-68,7%</td>
<td>17,75%</td>
<td>13,0%</td>
<td>26,2%</td>
<td>14,4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-2,7%</td>
<td>6,6%</td>
<td>-16%</td>
<td>16,1%</td>
<td>9,5%</td>
<td>-13,5%</td>
<td>8,7%</td>
<td>-10,8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0,7%</td>
<td>10,3%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>19,2%</td>
<td>-2,2%</td>
<td>11,50%</td>
<td>-5,8%</td>
<td>8,5%</td>
<td>-3,7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2,0%</td>
<td>7,0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>23,0%</td>
<td>-23,8%</td>
<td>9,75%</td>
<td>1,3%</td>
<td>7,5%</td>
<td>2,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>-0,7%</td>
<td>12,2%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>23,9%</td>
<td>2,9%</td>
<td>11,25%</td>
<td>-5,5%</td>
<td>7,2%</td>
<td>-3,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2,9%</td>
<td>2,2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>22,1%</td>
<td>9,9%</td>
<td>9,50%</td>
<td>0,8%</td>
<td>17,6%</td>
<td>4,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>-1,6%</td>
<td>1,7%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>19,2%</td>
<td>21,7%</td>
<td>8,00%</td>
<td>3,0%</td>
<td>10,2%</td>
<td>4,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,8%</td>
<td>1,5%</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>17,7%</td>
<td>2,7%</td>
<td>4,50%</td>
<td>11,9%</td>
<td>17,8%</td>
<td>13,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>3,3%</td>
<td>1,6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>15,3%</td>
<td>13,7%</td>
<td>4,00%</td>
<td>5,2%</td>
<td>16,8%</td>
<td>8,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2,1%</td>
<td>3,0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>13,5%</td>
<td>5,9%</td>
<td>3,50%</td>
<td>9,1%</td>
<td>0,4%</td>
<td>6,8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The stationarity of the time series for the dependent variable of lapse rate was tested by using critical values for 5 percent confidence intervals (KPSS: 0,463, DF: -2,862). Testing was performed in language R using commands: kpss.test() and adf.test().

In testing the time series stationarity with the KPSS test, the following result was obtained: KPSS Level = 0.40713, which is lower than the critical value 0,463, and which further means that the KPSS test failed to reject the null hypothesis of stationarity. With the ADF test, the following test result of time series stationarity was obtained: Dickey-Fuller = -3.2279. This value is lower than the critical value -2,862, which means that the extended DF test rejected the null hypothesis that there is a unit root. From the combined KPSS and DF tests, it can be concluded that the time series are stationary.

23 Unemployment rate has been aligned with ILO methodology since 2004.
24 Stock market index BELEXfm, later transformed into BELEXLine, was formed in December 2004.
25 Reference rate has been published by the National Bank of Serbia since 2006.
26 [https://www.mfin.gov.rs](https://www.mfin.gov.rs)
27 [https://www.stat.gov.rs](https://www.stat.gov.rs)
28 [https://www.nbs.rs](https://www.nbs.rs)
29 [https://www.belex.rs](https://www.belex.rs)
In addition to the data obtained from the Serbian insurance market, the analysis used official statistics of the Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Serbia, National Bank of Serbia, and Belgrade Stock Exchange: GDP, reference interest rate, average wages, stock market index BelexLine, inflation, unemployment rate, growth rates of life and non-life premium, etc., as shown in Table 2. The analysis of lapse rate dependency from the environmental parameter was performed in the period 2006–2017.

The data were processed in the programme package R which contains all necessary tools for predictive analysis in Microsoft Excel.

V. Selection of Modelling Factors for Lapse Rate Dependency

Table 3 shows maximum correlation coefficients between nine environmental predictors and dependent variable lapse rate calculated in the language R, using Cross-Correlation Function ccf().

Table 3 shows that dependent variable lapse rate individually has the strongest relationship i.e. positively correlates with the independent variable of average wage growth (correlation coefficient 0.71), unemployment rate (0.67), GDP growth (0.65), and reference interest rate of NBS (0.61), which means that the mentioned four independent variables can be used in lapse rate modelling.

Figure 2 shows correlations for the highest correlation between individual average wage growth and lapse rate and the lowest correlation (in absolute value) between BelexLine index growth and lapse rate.
Figure 2 Correlation between environmental predictors and the lapse rate with the highest and lowest maximum correlation coefficient

a) correlation between average net wage and lapse rate

b) correlation between BelexLine index growth rate and lapse rate

Source: Author's calculation

With the same command in the language R, `ccf()`, mutual correlations of all independent variables are obtained. The result is shown in Table 4. For a model of good quality, one should select two of four independent variables which have the strongest relationships with the lapse rate but the weakest relationship between one another. Table 4 shows that the best choice would be the independent variables of GDP growth and NBS reference rate where the correlation coefficient is the lowest and amounts to 0.3777358, with a mutual delay of parameters of two time units. Correlations between independent variables such as GDP growth and NBS reference rate are shown in Figure 3.

Table 4 Maximum correlation coefficient between predictors (lags of predictors by columns in relation to the predictors by rows are provided in the brackets)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GDP growth</th>
<th>Price Growth</th>
<th>Growth of average net wage in EUR</th>
<th>Unemployment rate</th>
<th>Belex-Line index growth</th>
<th>Ref. Interest rate of NBS</th>
<th>Non-life premium growth</th>
<th>Life premium growth</th>
<th>Total insurance premium growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0.59 (4)</td>
<td>0.88 (0)</td>
<td>0.56 (5)</td>
<td>0.75 (-1)</td>
<td>0.38 (2)</td>
<td>0.82 (0)</td>
<td>0.74 (0)</td>
<td>0.83 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0.59 (-4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.49 (-4)</td>
<td>-0.55 (-3)</td>
<td>0.55 (-5)</td>
<td>0.69 (1)</td>
<td>0.62 (-4)</td>
<td>0.56 (-4)</td>
<td>0.62 (-4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Correlation Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GDP growth</th>
<th>Price Growth</th>
<th>Growth of average net wage in EUR</th>
<th>Unemployment rate</th>
<th>Belex-Line index growth</th>
<th>Ref. Interest rate of NBS</th>
<th>Non-life premium growth</th>
<th>Life premium growth</th>
<th>Total insurance premium growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>0.88 (0)</td>
<td>0.49 (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.53 (5)</td>
<td>0.66 (-1)</td>
<td>0.52 (1)</td>
<td>0.77 (0)</td>
<td>0.67 (0)</td>
<td>0.78 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>0.56 (-5)</td>
<td>-0.55 (3)</td>
<td>0.53 (-5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.44 (-3)</td>
<td>-0.49 (4)</td>
<td>-0.67 (-1)</td>
<td>-0.66 (-1)</td>
<td>-0.71 (-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>0.75 (1)</td>
<td>0.55 (5)</td>
<td>0.66 (1)</td>
<td>-0.44 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.41 (0)</td>
<td>0.85 (1)</td>
<td>0.54 (1)</td>
<td>0.85 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>0.38 (-2)</td>
<td>0.69 (-1)</td>
<td>0.52 (-1)</td>
<td>-0.49 (-4)</td>
<td>-0.41 (0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.41 (-5)</td>
<td>0.42 (0)</td>
<td>0.42 (-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>0.82 (0)</td>
<td>0.62 (4)</td>
<td>0.77 (0)</td>
<td>-0.67 (1)</td>
<td>0.85 (-1)</td>
<td>0.41 (5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.65 (0)</td>
<td>0.99 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>0.74 (0)</td>
<td>0.56 (4)</td>
<td>0.67 (0)</td>
<td>-0.66 (1)</td>
<td>0.54 (-1)</td>
<td>0.42 (0)</td>
<td>0.65 (0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.74 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>0.83 (0)</td>
<td>0.62 (4)</td>
<td>0.78 (0)</td>
<td>-0.71 (1)</td>
<td>0.85 (-1)</td>
<td>0.42 (5)</td>
<td>0.99 (0)</td>
<td>0.74 (0)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s calculation

### Figure 3 Maximum correlation of environmental predictors selected for lapse rate modelling

- **a)** ccf (GDP growth, lapse rate)
- **b)** ccf (reference rate of NBS, lapse rate)

Source: Author’s calculation
Figure 4 Time series of a dependent and two independent variables without time lag

![Figure 4: Time series of a dependent and two independent variables without time lag](image)

Source: Author's calculation

Figure 5 Time series of a dependent and two independent variables with a corresponding time lag of independent variables

![Figure 5: Time series of a dependent and two independent variables with a corresponding time lag of independent variables](image)

Source: Author's calculation

The time lag between time series dependence is important because without the introduction of time lags, appropriate dependences cannot be modelled, as can be seen in Figure 4 which shows one dependent and two independent variables without time lags.

With the introduction of two-year lag for GDP growth and one-year lag for NBS reference rate, the relationship between a dependent variable and independent variables becomes visible in Figure 5.
VI. Model

There are several types of tools that may be used when deciding on the appropriate model. Many software packages offer acceptable models automatically, owing to iterative adjustment (fitting) of the model to the available data. This method is certainly a good start, but it is a good idea to fine-tune proposed models. One of the methods is to test p-values to check the significance of each variable by calculating the significance of the entire model and the significance of the model without individual independent variable. Another method is to assess the model based on randomly selected 70% of samples and then to check and refit the remaining 30% of samples. The third method is to use any of the following criteria: AIC (Akaike Information Criteria) or BIC (Bayesian Information Criteria).

1. Generalized Linear Model (GLM)

Generalized linear model (GLM) deals with the life insurance lapse rate and is one of the well-known multifactor regression models covered in literature. This model was formulated by Nelder and Wedderburn in 1972.\(^\text{30}\) It was selected because it is relatively easy to understand and very flexible in terms of the distribution of probabilities of dependent variable and input variables. Owing to link function, it may be used in the work with dependent and independent variables that can be continuous and binary, which is particularly important in lapses, because lapse variable has a binary value at the level of one policy (lapsed or not), and a continuous value between 0 and 1 for the whole portfolio.

In the 1970s, a special-purpose software was developed for work with GLM models and was dubbed GLIM (Generalized Linear Interactive Modelling). Today, GLM models are applied in different software packages such as SAS or SPSS, but the most popular GLM modelling is that in the language R, where GLM is applied as follows:

\[
glm(formula, family = \text{binomial(link=logit)}, data, weights, subset, na.action, \ldots)\]

2. Model Formation

A generalized linear model with normal distribution and identity link function was selected. Based on input data and fulfilment of the specified assumptions, by calling the function \(glm()\) of the software package R, the result was obtained as shown in Table 5.

---

Table 5 The result of function \textit{glm()} in the language R

Deviance Residuals:

\begin{verbatim}
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-0.025582 -0.003458 0.003365 0.008776 0.017722
\end{verbatim}

Coefficients:

\begin{verbatim}
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 0.10624 0.01437 7.394 0.00015 ***
 rast_bdp.lag2 0.44828 0.18940 2.367 0.04983 *
 ref_ks_NBS.lag1 0.47182 0.15264 3.091 0.01754 *
---
Signif. codes: 0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1
\end{verbatim}

(Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 0.0002529083)

Null deviance: 0.0084011 on 9 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 0.0017704 on 7 degrees of freedom
AIC: -50.013

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2

Source: Author's calculation

By using coefficients from the result obtained in the language R from Table 5, the lapse rate model may be shown depending on GDP growth and NBS reference rate:

The indicator of the quality of the assessed model is the coefficient of determination \( R^2 \). The coefficient of determination for this model is 0.7893, whereas the adjusted coefficient of determination is 0.7291. The said coefficients show which part of variations of a dependent variable is explained by the model and have quite high values, which proves that the model is adequate.

3. Fulfilment of Model Assumptions

After the formation of a model, it is necessary to check if the data meet the assumptions of the GLM model.\textsuperscript{31}

Assumption no. 1: in the observations, there is no presence of a larger number of deviations since this would have an adverse impact on the model fitted by

the least-squares method. In the event that there is a larger number of observations where the standard deviation is higher than the set limit, such observations should be removed from the analysis. Deviations occur but are not sufficiently significant. Since the removal of deviations would shorten the time series and thus diminish the accuracy of the model forecast, all values will remain in the model.

Assumption no. 2: dependent variable and independent variables have a linear relationship, which can be verified mathematically and visually by showing a dependent variable and independent variables on the graph. In Figure 5, it is easy to notice a clear linear relationship between the dependent variable and two independent variables.

Assumption no. 3: observations are mutually independent, which means that there is no autocorrelation. If a dependent variable has the property of autocorrelation, it means that the past values of the variable repeat, and thus, its historical values may be used as an explanatory variable. Autocorrelation was tested by Ljung-Box statistics\(^2\) in the language R by the command Box.test() where a p-value of 0.07414 was obtained. A P-value greater than 0.05 confirms that the null hypothesis of the absence of autocorrelation may not be rejected for a significance level of 5%. Chart result of Ljung-Box test statistics is shown in Figure 6, where it can also be seen that there are no significant correlations between the time series elements of the dependent variable.

Assumption no. 4: absence of multicollinearity, which in the context of the GLM model means that there is no strong correlation between the predictors. Multicollinearity may also occur if very few data are available in comparison with the number of parameters to be assessed. In the event of multicollinearity, the parameter vector has no unique solution. The presence of multicollinearity may be detected by VIF\(^3\) (Variance Inflation Factor), which represents a score calculated based on particular parameters for the selected predictor. VIF shows the degree of increase in the variance of regression model variable caused by multicollinearity. The smallest VIF value may equal 1, which means a complete absence of collinearity. VIF greater than 10 calls for particular actions toward reducing multicollinearity. In the language R, VIF values of all variables are calculated by the command vif(). The result obtained for both tested independent variables is the same and amounts to 1.22409, which indicates the absence of multicollinearity.


Figure 6 Autocorrelation of Dependent Variable of Lapse Rates

Assumption no. 5: The representativeness of a sample is based on the randomness of selected observations. This research will take into account all the available data on the policy lapses in the selected time interval so that representativeness is not an issue.

Assumption no. 6: Errors have a normal distribution. The assumption may be verified visually on the graph or by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test which compares the sample from the model with normal distribution. Figure 7 shows the Q-Q plot obtained from the software package R, by the command `plot()`, where it can be seen that deviations exist, but are not significant.
Pearson residuals or model residuals divided by the square root of the variance function are shown in Figure 8. They are obtained by the command `residualPlots()`. Residuals are not fully symmetric about 0 but deviations are acceptable because with minor changes, it is not possible to obtain a more efficient model.

Assumption no. 7: Homoscedasticity – homogeneity of error variance, which means that different variables have the same dispersion in their errors, regardless of the values of initial variables. Errors are heteroscedastic when the range of response variables is very broad. To check for a heterogeneous dispersion error, or when a pattern of residuals violates model assumptions of homoscedasticity, it is prudent to look for a so-called fanning effect34 between residual error and predicted values. This is to say there will be a systematic change in the absolute or squared residuals when plotted against the predictive variables. Errors will not be evenly distributed across the regression line. In fact, residuals appear clustered and spread apart on their predicted plots for larger and smaller values along the linear regression line, and the mean squared error for the model will be wrong. A response variable whose mean is large will typically have a greater dispersion than the one whose mean is small. This may be checked by showing standardized errors and standardized predictors on the graph. In the event that the homoscedasticity assumption is not met, the model can still be used, but the quality of the results obtained from the model will be reduced. Homoscedasticity may also be verified by the Breusch-Pagan test which in the language R is realised by the command `bptest()`. The result of Breusch–Pagan test has p-value of 0.6704. The obtained p-value of the test is greater than 0.05, which indicates that the null hypothesis of homoscedasticity may not be rejected at the given level of significance.

![Figure 8 Pearson Model Residuals](image)

*Source: Author's calculation*

---

Assumption no. 8: Absence of error autocorrelation means that random errors are mutually independent. Autocorrelation was tested with Ljung-Box statistic in the language R by the command `Box.test()`. The result of the Ljung-Box test obtained has a p-value of 0.6996. Obtained tested p-value is greater than 0.05, which indicates that there is no autocorrelation in the errors of the model.

4. Model Application

Based on the selected model, the expected lapse rate in 2018 may be predicted as follows:

\[
\hat{Y}(2018) = 0.10624 + 0.44828 \times GDP \text{ growth (2016)} + 0.47182 \times NBS \text{ ref. rate (2017)}
\]

A prediction interval is the expected range of values that will contain the value of a random variable with particular reliability. The expected lapse rate in 2018 calculated according to the above formula represents the mean value of the prediction interval and thus, the prediction interval for the lapse rate in 2018 is with reliability \( \alpha \).

In the language R, the prediction interval with a confidence level of 99.5% is obtained by the command `predict.glm()`. The obtained result is shown in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fit</th>
<th>lwr</th>
<th>upr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-01-10</td>
<td>0.1375434</td>
<td>0.1849368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author's calculation

Prediction interval which produces the developed model is [13.75% - 4.73%; 13.75% + 4.74%] or [9.01%; 18.49%]. Prediction interval produced by standard formula is [current lapse rate *0.5; current lapse rate *1.5] which is [7.55%; 22.64%]. Since the prediction interval of the developed partial internal model is lower than the prediction interval of the standard formula, the developed model measures the capital requirement more precisely, and results in the capital requirement which is lower than that in the prescribed standard formula.

7. Conclusion

Nine factors influencing the lapse rate in the life insurance industry were analysed: GDP, reference interest rate, average wage, stock market index BelexLine, inflation, unemployment rate, life premium growth rate, non-life premium growth rate, and the growth rate of total insurance premium.
Based on the research of international authors mentioned in the section of this paper entitled Overview of Literature on the Lapse Rate Factors, the lapse rate can be adequately modelled based on the reference interest rate, gross domestic product per capita, unemployment rate, capital market development, and size of an insurance company. The research conducted with the data from the domestic market met the expectations that can be drawn from the research of international authors, showing that for the modelling of lapse rate dependency of a particular insurance company, the most adequate are the following factors: GDP growth and NBS reference rate. Used was the generalized linear model with normal distribution and identity function as the link function, which meets all required GLM assumptions.

Based on the conducted research, the author concludes that the developed partial internal model measures the capital requirement of a particular insurance company more precisely and has a lower capital requirement compared to that of the prescribed standard formula. In the application of the Solvency II regime in Serbia, Serbian insurance companies may use this conclusion for more adequate determination of solvency capital requirement by forming an internal partial model that would include the model for life insurance lapse risk.

Further course that the development of this research may take is to analyse the impact of internal factors of the policy on the lapse rate, such as: type of insurance, number of years passed since the conclusion of the contract, term of the contract, amount of premium, premium payment schedule, level of the sum insured, sales channel, age of policyholders, gender of policyholders, etc.
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